

III. EVALUATION PROCESS AND CRITERIA (CONTINUED)

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Stage 2

Stage 2 Scoring Criteria	Weighting	Cost Portion of Weighting
1. Integrated Program Proposal- Research Project Linkages	10	0/ <u>10</u>
2. Program Connection to Market	12.5	0/ <u>12.5</u>
3. Program Need	10	0/ <u>10</u>
4. Impact & Benefits for California	12.5	0/ <u>12.5</u>
5. Program Director & Program Team	10	0/ <u>10</u>
6. Program Funding & Match Share	15	15/ <u>15</u>
7. Program Scope of Work	15	0/ <u>15</u>
8. Program Budget	15	15/ <u>15</u>
TOTAL	100	30/ <u>100</u>

Energy and Greenhouse Gas Calculations Criteria

For each project, the Bidder is must to provide an estimate of the energy and peak demand savings, as well as quantify the impact on greenhouse gas emissions that the project is likely to produce. Bidder is expected to use the reference data tables and references cited in Attachment 13.

The Commission expects that Bidders will “temper” their market impact estimations with realistic assumptions about how long it takes to achieve market penetration as it relates to construction activity and the market connection challenges faced by all technology transfer efforts. Bidders should also discuss the potential for competing technologies and account for these in their discussion of market impacts assumed for the proposed research efforts.

Stage 2 Technical, Policy, and Cost Scoring Criteria

All Program Proposals that pass the screening will be evaluated for merit based on the following criteria:

Stage 2 – Final Proposal Detailed Technical, Policy and Cost Scoring Criteria	Weight/ Maximum Total Score
Integrated Program Proposal – Research Project Linkages The extent to which the proposal demonstrates that: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The research projects have significantly greater value when conducted together under a single research program than if they were conducted separately as independent projects;• Specific linkages between projects have been identified and the proposal identifies specific actions that will be taken to facilitate program linkages and maximize the research value; and,• Diverse experts crossing institutional boundaries have been brought together to form partnerships, building upon past work of the individual researchers, where appropriate.	10/100